

# INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH AND ANALYSIS



Open Access, Refereed Journal Multi-Disciplinary  
Peer Reviewed

[www.ijlra.com](http://www.ijlra.com)

## **DISCLAIMER**

No part of this publication may be reproduced or copied in any form by any means without prior written permission of Managing Editor of IJLRA. The views expressed in this publication are purely personal opinions of the authors and do not reflect the views of the Editorial Team of IJLRA.

Though every effort has been made to ensure that the information in Volume II Issue 7 is accurate and appropriately cited/referenced, neither the Editorial Board nor IJLRA shall be held liable or responsible in any manner whatsoever for any consequences for any action taken by anyone on the basis of information in the Journal.

Copyright © International Journal for Legal Research & Analysis

## **EDITORIAL TEAM**

### **EDITORS**

#### **Dr. Samrat Datta**

*Dr. Samrat Datta Seedling School of Law and Governance, Jaipur National University, Jaipur. Dr. Samrat Datta is currently associated with Seedling School of Law and Governance, Jaipur National University, Jaipur. Dr. Datta has completed his graduation i.e., B.A.LL.B. from Law College Dehradun, Hemvati Nandan Bahuguna Garhwal University, Srinagar, Uttarakhand. He is an alumnus of KIIT University, Bhubaneswar where he pursued his post-graduation (LL.M.) in Criminal Law and subsequently completed his Ph.D. in Police Law and Information Technology from the Pacific Academy of Higher Education and Research University, Udaipur in 2020. His area of interest and research is Criminal and Police Law. Dr. Datta has a teaching experience of 7 years in various law schools across North India and has held administrative positions like Academic Coordinator, Centre Superintendent for Examinations, Deputy Controller of Examinations, Member of the Proctorial Board*



#### **Dr. Namita Jain**



*Head & Associate Professor*

*School of Law, JECRC University, Jaipur Ph.D. (Commercial Law) LL.M., UGC -NET Post Graduation Diploma in Taxation law and Practice, Bachelor of Commerce.*

*Teaching Experience: 12 years, AWARDS AND RECOGNITION of Dr. Namita Jain are - ICF Global Excellence Award 2020 in the category of educationalist by I Can Foundation, India. India Women Empowerment Award in the category of "Emerging Excellence in Academics by Prime Time & Utkrisht Bharat Foundation, New Delhi.(2020). Conferred in FL Book of Top 21 Record Holders in the category of education by Fashion Lifestyle Magazine, New Delhi. (2020). Certificate of Appreciation for organizing and managing the Professional Development Training Program on IPR in Collaboration with Trade Innovations Services, Jaipur on March 14th, 2019*

## Mrs.S.Kalpana

Assistant professor of Law

*Mrs.S.Kalpana, presently Assistant professor of Law, VelTech Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala R & D Institute of Science and Technology, Avadi. Formerly Assistant professor of Law, Vels University in the year 2019 to 2020, Worked as Guest Faculty, Chennai Dr.Ambedkar Law College, Pudupakkam. Published one book. Published 8Articles in various reputed Law Journals. Conducted IMoot court competition and participated in nearly 80 National and International seminars and webinars conducted on various subjects of Law. Did ML in Criminal Law and Criminal Justice Administration. 10 paper presentations in various National and International seminars. Attended more than 10 FDP programs. Ph.D. in Law pursuing.*



## Avinash Kumar



*Avinash Kumar has completed his Ph.D. in International Investment Law from the Dept. of Law & Governance, Central University of South Bihar. His research work is on "International Investment Agreement and State's right to regulate Foreign Investment." He qualified UGC-NET and has been selected for the prestigious ICSSR Doctoral Fellowship. He is an alumnus of the Faculty of Law, University of Delhi. Formerly he has been elected as Students Union President of Law Centre-1, University of Delhi. Moreover, he completed his LL.M. from the University of Delhi (2014-16), dissertation on "Cross-border Merger & Acquisition"; LL.B. from the University of Delhi (2011-14), and B.A. (Hons.) from Maharaja Agrasen College, University of Delhi. He has also obtained P.G. Diploma in IPR from the Indian Society of International Law, New Delhi. He has qualified UGC – NET examination and has been awarded ICSSR – Doctoral Fellowship. He has published six-plus articles and presented 9 plus papers in national and international seminars/conferences. He participated in several workshops on research methodology and teaching and learning.*

## **ABOUT US**

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH & ANALYSIS  
ISSN

2582-6433 is an Online Journal is Monthly, Peer Review, Academic Journal, Published online, that seeks to provide an interactive platform for the publication of Short Articles, Long Articles, Book Review, Case Comments, Research Papers, Essay in the field of Law & Multidisciplinary issue. Our aim is to upgrade the level of interaction and discourse about contemporary issues of law. We are eager to become a highly cited academic publication, through quality contributions from students, academics, professionals from the industry, the bar and the bench. INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH & ANALYSIS ISSN 2582-6433 welcomes contributions from all legal branches, as long as the work is original, unpublished and is in consonance with the submission guidelines.

# **QUASI JUDICIAL BODIES: LAW AND PROCESSES**

AUTHORED BY - SHASHWATI SOMYA

4<sup>th</sup> Year B.A LLB (MANIPAL UNIVERSITY JAIPUR)

## **ABSTRACT**

Modern legal systems must have quasi-judicial entities, which combine judicial and administrative authority to decide on specific cases and regulatory issues. The essential traits, legal structures, and minute procedural particulars that distinguish these organizations are examined in this abstract. Statutes give quasi-judicial bodies the power to interpret and implement the law in specific areas, like labour relations, environmental regulation, and professional conduct, within the bounds of their designated jurisdictions. They follow procedural fairness and due process guidelines while conducting hearings, obtaining evidence, and making decisions that have an effect on both individual rights and corporate responsibilities.

Their position depends on their ability to function without interference from the executive branch since it ensures fair adjudication and increases their credibility. Higher courts can ensure uniformity in the implementation of the law and verify rulings for legal faults through the supervision mechanism provided by judicial review. Issues such as case backlogs and resource scarcity necessitate ongoing enhancements in efficacy and transparency. Adopting technological advancements and strengthening procedural safeguards are necessary for optimizing quasi-judicial processes. Quasi-judicial bodies are essential to the upkeep of administrative justice because they strike a balance between the need to preserve individual rights through unbiased and moral decision-making and existing statutory constraints. Understanding the laws and processes that regulate them can help demonstrate this.

## INTRODUCTION

Quasi-judicial bodies are crucial in the domains of governance and justice because they serve as specialized organizations with the authority to decide cases and settle disagreements in particular legal areas, so serving as a link between administrative and judicial decision-making processes. These organizations play a crucial role in guaranteeing equity, openness, and effectiveness across multiple domains, encompassing regulatory matters and conflict settlement. These organizations function within administrative structures yet have judicial-like authority, in contrast to traditional courts.

## NATURE AND FUNCTION

Legislation creates quasi-judicial entities to handle intricate disciplinary, adjudicative, or regulatory issues. Their dual characteristics as administrative and judicial authorities enable them to hold hearings, collect evidence, and make judgments that affect the rights and responsibilities of people or organizations. In order to guarantee uniformity and competence in decision-making, these bodies are frequently entrusted with interpreting and applying legislation within their particular fields of expertise.

The functions of quasi-judicial bodies can vary depending on their statutory mandates and the sector in which they operate. However, there are certain common functions they typically perform:

### A. Adjudicating Disputes

One of the primary functions of quasi-judicial bodies is to adjudicate disputes between parties. These bodies resolve conflicts in areas where specialized knowledge is required, such as taxation, industrial relations, or environmental regulation. For example:

Income Tax Appellate Tribunal (ITAT) hears appeals related to income tax assessments.

Central Administrative Tribunal (CAT) resolves service matters of government employees.

National Green Tribunal (NGT) resolves cases related to environmental protection.

### B. Regulation and Enforcement

Many quasi-judicial bodies have a regulatory function where they enforce compliance with specific laws, industry standards, or regulatory guidelines. These bodies monitor and supervise industries or sectors to ensure that stakeholders are adhering to legal norms. For example:

Securities and Exchange Board of India (SEBI) regulates the securities market to ensure

transparency, fairness, and protection of investors.

Telecom Regulatory Authority of India (TRAI) regulates the telecommunications sector, ensuring fair competition, quality of service, and consumer protection.

#### C. Issuing Orders and Directives

Quasi-judicial bodies have the power to issue legally binding orders, directives, or penalties in cases brought before them. These decisions can be enforced in the same way as court judgments in some cases. For example:

Consumer Protection Forums (under the Consumer Protection Act, 2019) issue orders to provide compensation to aggrieved consumers and direct businesses to rectify unfair practices. Competition Commission of India (CCI) issues orders against anti-competitive practices and imposes penalties on violators.

#### D. Investigations and Inquiries

Some quasi-judicial bodies also have investigative functions. They conduct inquiries or investigations to gather facts before making a decision. These investigations may be triggered by complaints or proactive actions by the body. For example:

National Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission (NCDRC) may order investigations into complaints of unfair trade practices.

National Company Law Tribunal (NCLT) may investigate the financial position of companies during insolvency proceedings.

#### E. Advisory Role

In some cases, quasi-judicial bodies also perform advisory functions, providing expert advice to the government or other stakeholders. For example:

Law Commissions may advise the government on legal reforms.

Environmental Appellate Tribunals may provide expert advice on environmental laws and regulations.

#### F. Facilitating Settlement and Mediation

Certain quasi-judicial bodies, such as labor tribunals or consumer forums, promote settlements between parties to avoid lengthy litigation. They facilitate negotiations, mediate disputes, or propose compromises. For example:

Labour Tribunals might help settle industrial disputes between workers and employers.

Consumer Forums may encourage parties to resolve disputes amicably, thereby reducing the need for formal hearings.

## **KEY CHARACTERISTICS OF QUASI JUDICIAL BODIES**

**Limited Jurisdiction:** Quasi-judicial bodies have jurisdiction over specific matters or areas, often governed by a particular set of laws or regulations. Their decisions are restricted to the scope of these regulations.

**Adjudicatory Function:** While they are not courts, these bodies perform a similar role in adjudicating disputes. They typically conduct hearings, allow parties to present evidence, and issue decisions based on their findings.

**Informality:** The procedures in quasi-judicial bodies are generally less formal than in regular courts. The rules of evidence may be more relaxed, and the proceedings may be more streamlined to promote efficiency and accessibility.

**Non-judicial Composition:** Quasi-judicial bodies may include individuals who are not judges but are experts in specific fields, such as economists, engineers, or other professionals, depending on the subject matter of the disputes they handle.

**Decision-Making Power:** They have the authority to make binding decisions or recommendations. These decisions can be subject to judicial review if they are challenged in higher courts.

## **LEGAL FRAMEWORK**

Statutory provisions and constitutional principles define the extent of powers and restrictions of quasi-judicial bodies, and they also govern their establishment and operation. These legislation guarantee openness and justice in procedures by outlining standards of proof, procedural guidelines, and grounds for decision-making. Adherence to procedural fairness, due process, and the ability to appeal rulings to higher courts are important tenets that preserve administrative efficiency and promote the ideals of justice. Some of the key statutory features of quasi-judicial bodies include:

**Enabling Legislation:** The creation and functioning of quasi-judicial bodies are governed by specific laws or acts, such as the Consumer Protection Act (for consumer forums), the Income Tax Act (for the ITAT), or the Industrial Disputes Act (for Labour Tribunals).

**Adjudicatory Powers:** While these bodies are not part of the judiciary, they are often vested

with the power to make binding decisions, issue orders, and impose penalties or sanctions.

**Appeal and Review Mechanisms:** In most cases, decisions made by quasi-judicial bodies can be appealed to higher authorities or courts. For instance, orders issued by a tribunal may be challenged before a High Court, subject to judicial review.

## **PROCESSES AND PROCEDURES**

In quasi-judicial proceedings, organized procedures meant to promote fair decision-making are usually used. Pre-hearing conferences, evidence presentation, witness cross-examination, and party submission of legal arguments are all possible components of hearings. Hearings are governed by procedural standards, which protect against irregularities in the process and guarantee that all parties are treated fairly. In order to resolve disputes quickly while maintaining legal standards, decisions are made based on the facts that have been given as well as any relevant legal concepts.

1. **Observation and Audience:** Parties impacted by quasi-judicial organizations' rulings have a right to fair notice of the proceedings and a chance to be heard. This guarantees that, prior to a decision being made, all pertinent data and arguments are taken into account.
2. **Rules of Evidence:** Quasi-judicial bodies often adopt rules of evidence that govern the admissibility and presentation of evidence during hearings. This helps in maintaining the reliability and relevance of information considered in decision-making.
3. **Written Decisions:** Decisions rendered by quasi-judicial bodies are typically documented in writing, outlining the findings of fact, legal reasoning, and conclusions reached. This promotes transparency and accountability in the exercise of adjudicatory functions.

## **LIST OF QUASI JUDICIAL BODIES IN INDIA**

Appellate Tribunal for Electricity, Railway Claims Tribunal, Intellectual Property Appellate Tribunal, Banking Ombudsman, Income tax Ombudsman, State Sales tax Appellate Tribunal, National Human Rights Commission, Central Information Commission, National Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission, District Consumer Disputes Redressal Forum, and so on are the list of quasi-judicial bodies in India. Appellate Tribunal for Electricity, Railway Claims Tribunal, Intellectual Property Appellate Tribunal, Banking Ombudsman, Income tax Ombudsman, State Sales tax Appellate Tribunal, National Human Rights Commission, Central

Information Commission, National Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission, District Consumer Disputes Redressal Forum, and so on are the list of quasi-judicial bodies in India. The establishment of quasi-judicial bodies in India has several benefits, including lower costs than traditional legal proceedings, simpler processes, and a panel of specialists who are able to swiftly and easily grasp the nuances of a case. Also, it lessens the judiciary's workload.

## **DISTINCTION BETWEEN JUDICIAL AND QUASI-JUDICIAL FUNCTIONS**

The following are the ways in which a quasi-judicial function is different from a strictly judicial function:

- a) A quasi-judicial authority must function in a judicial manner even though it lacks certain of the formalities of a court.
- b) A quarrel between two parties is a necessary component of a judicial role, while a quasi-judicial duty might not require this.
- c) A quasi-judicial body is not subject to the evidentiary and procedural requirements that a court is.
- d) A quasi-judicial authority is not constrained by precedents, whereas a court is.
- e) An administrative entity endowed with quasi-judicial powers may be a party to the dispute but retain the authority to decide it; a court cannot preside over its own case, with the exception of contempt proceedings.

Courts use pre-existing law when making decisions, but administrative authorities have discretion. Any officer handling actions under this Act may use discretion, subject to the terms of this Act and any rules enacted under it. But we must recognize the boundaries of those discretions if we are to fully exercise our rights as citizens. The Bombay High Court in 2009 provides some much-needed guidelines regarding the proper procedures quasi-judicial entities must adhere to in one of its rulings. A citizen has the right to question them in high court if they make mistakes in these kinds of things. Let us now examine the contents of section 57 of the act. Notices make reference to this section of the statute. Any officer handling proceedings under this Act may, subject to the provisions of this Act and any rules made thereunder, exercise the civil court's powers under the Code of Civil Procedure, 1980 for the following purposes:

- 1) summoning and compelling witnesses to appear, as well as questioning them under oath;
- 2) demanding that any document or record be found and produced,

- 3) obtaining testimony based on affidavits; and
- 4) requisitioning any public record or copy thereof from any office of court. The following are examples of civil court decisions that can be enforced or executed: commissions for witness or document examinations,
- 5) remands of any case or proceedings to the officer whose decree the appeal is preferred; and
- 6) enforcement of orders, including an order for possession restoration. As can be seen from the foregoing, this section gives the quasi-judicial authorities the authority to operate in accordance with the Act and any rules that may be issued under it. A common reference point in cases where modest changes are requested without a formal hearing is Civil Procedure Code section 151. CPC Article 151 The decision may take the form of a straightforward reference in the file or on the hearing register in cases where it may not be appealable separately from the ruling on the merits of the case. The important caveat is that, even although empowerment exists, it should only be applied in extreme circumstances, such as when the declaration of quasi-judicial procedure becomes onerous and unnecessary, and it should only include modest adjustments that don't need the exercise of judgment.

### **ROLE AND SIGNIFICANCE**

Quasi-judicial bodies are significant because they can provide specific expertise in managing complex regulatory issues and resolving conflicts. These groups transfer decision-making power from overworked courts to accelerate administrative processes and ensure timely resolution of disputes. Additionally, because of their sector-specific knowledge, they are able to develop well-considered solutions that satisfy industry standards as well as public policy objectives.

### **INDEPENDENCE AND IMPARTIALITY**

To ensure credibility and equity, quasi-judicial institutions operate independently of political influence and executive control. Members of these committees are often selected on the basis of their expertise and background in relevant fields, ensuring impartial and equitable settlement of disputes. In addition to maintaining judicial independence and public confidence in the decision-making process, statutory protections against overbearing involvement serve to further reinforce independence.

The independence and impartiality of quasi-judicial bodies are fundamental to their legitimacy and effectiveness. These bodies, although not part of the formal judiciary, exercise significant authority in adjudicating disputes, issuing binding orders, and regulating sectors in accordance with statutory laws. Their ability to function effectively and fairly depends on their autonomy from external influences and their commitment to neutrality in decision-making.

While quasi-judicial bodies perform specialized functions, often in administrative or regulatory contexts, their decisions can have serious legal, financial, and social consequences. Hence, ensuring that they are independent and impartial is crucial to upholding the rule of law and ensuring justice. This involves examining the various dimensions of independence and impartiality, the factors that affect these principles, and how they are safeguarded in practice.

### 1. What is Independence in Quasi-Judicial Bodies?

Independence refers to the freedom of quasi-judicial bodies from external pressure, influence, or control while performing their functions. This ensures that these bodies can make decisions based solely on the merits of the case, legal principles, and facts, without being swayed by political, governmental, or private interests.

Key aspects of independence in quasi-judicial bodies include:

#### A. Operational Autonomy

Quasi-judicial bodies must have the freedom to carry out their functions without interference from the executive or legislative branches of government, or any other external actors. This autonomy ensures that they are free to investigate, adjudicate, and make decisions as required by the law.

For example, regulatory bodies like the Competition Commission of India (CCI) must independently assess cases involving anti-competitive behavior without being subject to undue political or corporate pressures.

#### B. Financial Independence

Adequate funding is essential for the effective functioning of quasi-judicial bodies. Their independence is compromised if their budget is controlled by the very institutions or entities whose actions they are supposed to regulate or scrutinize.

The Securities and Exchange Board of India (SEBI) and other regulatory authorities, for instance, require sufficient resources to conduct investigations, hire experts, and carry out legal

processes without financial constraints imposed by the government.

### C. Protection from External Influences

Independence is also ensured when quasi-judicial bodies are shielded from direct influence by political powers, vested interest groups, or businesses that might have a stake in the decisions. For instance, the National Green Tribunal (NGT), which handles environmental disputes, must operate free from any political or corporate influences to ensure that decisions are based purely on environmental laws and regulations.

## 2. What is Impartiality in Quasi-Judicial Bodies?

Impartiality refers to the fair and unbiased approach of quasi-judicial bodies in making decisions. It ensures that these bodies do not favor one party over another, but instead base their decisions on objective evidence, legal standards, and principles of justice. Impartiality is crucial for maintaining public trust in the fairness and legitimacy of quasi-judicial processes.

Key elements of impartiality include:

### A. Neutral Decision-Making

Quasi-judicial bodies must decide cases impartially, without any preconceived notions, bias, or favoritism toward one side. The members of these bodies must approach each case with an open mind and apply the law equally to all parties.

For example, in tribunals dealing with industrial disputes, such as the Labour Tribunals or Industrial Tribunals, impartiality is critical to ensure that the interests of both employers and employees are considered equally.

### B. Judicial Ethics and Code of Conduct

Members of quasi-judicial bodies are often required to adhere to a code of conduct or ethical guidelines that promote fairness, neutrality, and transparency in their decision-making. This helps safeguard against conflicts of interest, bias, or partiality.

For instance, Central Administrative Tribunals (CAT), which adjudicate service-related disputes of government employees, follow strict codes of conduct to avoid partiality in their decisions.

### C. Avoidance of Conflicts of Interest

Members of quasi-judicial bodies must recuse themselves from hearing cases in which they

have a personal interest, relationship with the parties, or any other conflict that may impair their neutrality.

The Competition Commission of India (CCI), for example, requires its members to avoid participating in cases where there is any financial or personal connection to the entities involved.

#### D. Transparency in Decision-Making

Transparent processes and clear reasoning in decisions help demonstrate impartiality. When decisions are published with detailed explanations, parties can see how the body has arrived at its conclusion based on facts and the law, ensuring that bias or favoritism is not at play.

Consumer Disputes Redressal Forums issue detailed judgments that explain the rationale behind the decision, enhancing transparency and trust in the process.

## **JUDICIAL REVIEW**

It is within the jurisdiction of higher courts to conduct judicial reviews of judgments made by quasi-judicial institutions in order to ensure legal compliance and accountability. Parties that believe that decisions are unjust may request judicial review on the grounds of defects in the law, procedural fairness, or jurisdiction. Courts examine rulings to see if quasi-judicial bodies behaved in accordance with the law and procedural guidelines. This procedure provides a means of ensuring uniformity in the of the law and rectifying legal mistakes.

## **CHALLENGES AND REFORMS**

Notwithstanding their significance, quasi-judicial entities deal with issues such case backlogs, resource limitations, and changing legal complexity. Process simplification, increased transparency, and strengthened procedural protections are a few examples of potential reforms. Using technology, enhancing case management programs, and giving staff and members proper training can all help make decision-making more effective and efficient.

While quasi-judicial bodies offer a specialized and less formal alternative to courts, they are not without challenges:

**Lack of Uniformity:** Different quasi-judicial bodies may have varying procedural standards and levels of expertise, leading to inconsistency in decisions.

**Limited Oversight:** Judicial review of decisions made by quasi-judicial bodies may be limited,

which can sometimes result in decisions that lack transparency or fairness.

Overburdened Systems: Some quasi-judicial bodies, particularly tribunals, face significant delays due to backlogs of cases and insufficient resources, which can undermine their effectiveness.

## CONCLUSION

Administrative justice relies heavily on quasi-judicial authorities to bridge the gap between court supervision and policy execution. Within particular regulatory contexts, their specialized knowledge and adjudicative roles support the prompt, equitable, and effective resolution of legal disputes. Understanding the laws and procedures governing quasi-judicial bodies highlights their significance in contemporary legal systems, reflecting a balance between administrative efficiency and judicial oversight in the pursuit of justice. These bodies uphold the principles of independence, impartiality, and procedural fairness, and as such, play a crucial role in maintaining the rule of law and ensuring equitable outcomes for individuals and organizations affected by their decisions.

## REFERENCES

<https://www.atlantis-press.com/article/125970707.pdf>

<https://www.questjournals.org/jrhss/papers/vol9-issue7/Ser-4/G09074047.pdf>

<https://legallaffairs.gov.in/sites/default/files/1882%20March%2017%202022.pdf>

<https://www.civildaily.com/news/quasi-judicial-bodies/>

[https://scholar.google.com/scholar?hl=en&as\\_sdt=0%2C5&q=quasi+judicial+bodies&oq=quasi+judi#d=gs\\_qabs&t=1719771453862&u=%23p%3DQ\\_Rnq5xLbxcJ](https://scholar.google.com/scholar?hl=en&as_sdt=0%2C5&q=quasi+judicial+bodies&oq=quasi+judi#d=gs_qabs&t=1719771453862&u=%23p%3DQ_Rnq5xLbxcJ)